

THE OMAHA DAILY BEE.

FOUNDED BY EDWARD ROSEWATER.

VICTOR ROSEWATER, EDITOR.

Entered at Omaha postoffice as second-class matter.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

Daily Bee (including Sunday), per week, 10c.

Daily Bee (without Sunday), per week, 8c.

Daily Bee (without Sunday), one year, \$9.00.

Daily Bee and Sunday, one year, \$10.00.

DELIVERED BY CARRIER.

Evening Bee (without Sunday), per week, 10c.

Evening Bee (with Sunday), per week, 12c.

Sunday Bee, one year, \$2.00.

Address all communications to The Bee, Omaha, Neb.

Advertisement rates on application.

Copyright, 1910, by The Omaha Daily Bee.

Published by The Omaha Daily Bee, Omaha, Neb.

Printed at the Omaha Daily Bee Press, Omaha, Neb.

Subscription orders, notices, and notices of change of address, must be accompanied by payment.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Personal notices, notices of change of address, and notices of withdrawal of advertising contracts, must be accompanied by payment.

Omaha and western exchange rates on application.

REMITTANCES.

Send by draft, check, or postal order payable to The Omaha Daily Bee.

Only cash payments received in payment of bills.

Does Bryan Really Think So?

Mr. Bryan makes the characteristic prediction of democratic success in the nation this fall and therefore in 1912, declaring the party never had brighter prospects than now confront it.

Does Mr. Bryan really believe this? Does he honestly believe that, even if the democrats could gain control of the next house, it would help them win in the 1912 election? Does he not know that control of the house, with the senate and executive still republican, would enable the democrats to do nothing more than confine their course of negation and fault-finding, and does he believe they could go before an intelligent people on such a record as compared with the republicans' record of actual achievement and expert victory?

As things now stand, what have Mr. Bryan and his party to offer the voters this fall on which they can reasonably base a claim to control of the next house? Of the popular measures enacted by the last congress, what one or part of one is due to democratic effort? Not one. On the contrary, nearly all, if not all, were enacted in spite of and not by the effort of the democrats, who, under the leadership of Champ Clark in the house and others in the senate, pursued a course consistent in one thing only, attempt at nullification. And in order to pursue this course, they repudiated their own platform pledges. They were pledged to postal savings banks in their campaign and in congress voted against them. They took no active part in the conservation measures, and opposed the bill providing for the regulation of interstate commerce railways, though they have repeatedly pretended to advocate such measures as would tend to squeeze the water out of the railroads. In this case they were put to extremes for finding a basis of opposition, and finally bit upon the time-worn ground of states rights, contending that the republicans had no right to enact a law that would give the national government such supervision.

Does Mr. Bryan believe, then, that with all the skill and sophistry he and his co-workers can command they will be able to fool the people into voting for their candidates this fall, with not one tangible, positive proposition to offer as a reason why men should vote the democratic ticket?

Party Textbooks. The republicans have issued their campaign text book, and the democrats have also issued theirs. The republican book is a simple record of the achievements of the party, while the democrats' is a mere compilation of promises for themselves and criticisms and misrepresentations of the republicans.

Playing, as usual, on the credulity of the public, the democrats will be obliged to confine themselves to prognostication, since they have no record of achievement of late years to which they may refer, and they will not dare refer to the one they left behind them the last time they were "entrusted with power." Their whole text book, therefore, must be argumentative, while that of the republicans is argument only as a "very good record must obviously be a good argument."

Not since the civil war has a congress accomplished as many actual results, as much constructive legislation in response to popular demand, as did the sixty-first congress, and the contents of this year's republican text book ought, therefore, to prove the most impressive that the party ever has submitted to the people. The book is compiled with a scrupulous fidelity to facts and details, and is a wonderful source of political and industrial information. It presents not only a record of the present administration up to date, with its policies and principles, but contains a vast amount of useful information as to labor and commerce in this country.

Do the Girls Need Guardians? Apropos the attempt at suicide by a disappointed girl in New York, a business man of thirty years' standing in that city has this to say with reference to the agitation for organized aid to young women going to the metropolis and needing guidance to protect them from evil influences: "After an experience of more than thirty years, with many girls and women obliged to earn their living in New York City in all conditions of service, in the office, store, along the railroads and in their homes, and in the factory, I have come to the conclusion that the girl who 'wants her business' and attends to the work for which she is getting paid will not be forced to commit suicide to escape the 'attempts' of the men by whom she is surrounded in her daily vocation."

With all the natural temptations besetting the young person, male or female, going to the large city, whether it be New York, Chicago or cities of the class of Omaha, after all no charitable effort or enterprise, moral agency or religious institution can save the unaccomplished without his or her own effort. A business man of thirty years' experience has a right to an opinion on such matters, and the chances are he is more right than wrong on this point. The youth, man or woman, who attends to the business in hand and is not looking for trouble is very likely to keep out of it, but where there is a slight yearning for the first subtle taste—just a taste—of the "razzle," it is difficult to render much assistance. That is why this agi-

ation on the part of certain charitably disposed rich for an asylum where young women from abroad may be taken in tow and guided through the meshes of gilded vice does not appeal with convincing force to some people, though, of course, any laudable effort of this kind may do good.

With all the attractions for social enjoyment and all the possibilities of peril in the large city, there are vast opportunities for good, for success, and they may be embraced even more easily than those which lead to danger.

Solace. Our old friend (by permission), Edgar Howard, is at any rate setting for us an example which typifies solace. Here it is—he calls it "The Last Laugh," but it sounds more like the first weep: "Several of our democratic editorial friends have been enjoying themselves in recent days by pointing to the nomination of the Omaha candidate for United States senator, and at the same time pointing to the fact that the editor of the Forerunner did not contribute to the success of the Omaha candidate. That's all right, boys. Enjoy yourselves while you may. Extol the virtues of your candidate while you can. Run it in on The Telegram, while it is popular. Don't spare us in your efforts to have fun over the campaign figures. Your fun doesn't hurt us, but only pays the way to make more painful your own situation in the hour of your approaching grief. Lay on, brethren. Nobody around The Telegram office is crying enough. Cheer up, Edgar. It's nothing when you get used to it."

Extra Session Talk. Extra session talk has been revived around the state house on the theory that Governor Shallenberger when beaten for renomination may be induced to respond to Mr. Bryan's demand that he convene the legislature to submit an initiative and referendum amendment to the constitution. Why his success or failure to secure renomination in the democratic primary should alter the governor's view of the emergency, or rather lack of emergency, for a special session of the legislature is not quite clear.

When this matter was pressed upon him before the governor declared the only condition on which he would act would be positive assurance of the necessary majority of both houses of the legislature that the votes needed would be forthcoming, and when the governor came to a decision he explained that this condition had not been met and, furthermore, that the time had then already passed when an amendment could be submitted for ratification at the coming election under the terms of the amendment clause. What was true then with respect to insufficient time is still more true now. Not only has the time prescribed for beginning publication of the amendment passed, but the primaries have also been held in which such amendment should be submitted for approval or rejection by the several political parties, without which the chances of carrying in the election would be small indeed.

So we pass up the renewed extra session talk as impracticable of materializing. A new legislature will be chosen within two months, and with a fresh commission from the people will be able to answer all demands for changes in our laws or constitution.

Taft's Conservation Speech. President Taft's speech on conservation at St. Paul shows remarkable research and knowledge of the subject. It is a clear, convincing statement of what must strike most people, who are open to conviction, as a sound, safe policy for the government to pursue. He well says that the time has come "to halt in general rhapsodies over conservation, making the word mean every known good in the world." In this and one or two other passages he offers a useful suggestion to those who have been confusing rather than educating the people on conservation by their peculiar preachments.

The speech is characteristic of the president's temperament in its conservatism, in its injunction upon the people to "abide by constitutional limitations," and yet is decidedly progressive. As it will probably form the main part of his recommendations to congress next winter on the subject of conservation it will naturally partake of a simple report of stewardship, with a statement of plans for the future.

The president credits former President Roosevelt for shaping action along these lines and shows that much of the work left incomplete by the former administration has been finished or taken up by his own, thus indicating a degree of harmony in the policies of the two men. He stands for national authority in the general work of conserving the country's resources, but declares that in the case of forests not on public land their protection is beyond the jurisdiction of the federal government and "if anything can be done for them by law, it must be done by the state legislatures." He may invite criticism on this point from those who deny any right of the states in this regard, but an unprejudiced reading of his address will show that he is for central authority as to the work at large.

The president considers conservation as an economic and political term, meaning the preservation of our natural resources for economic use in such a way as to avoid waste and secure the greatest good to the greatest number, using them for the present so

to store their power for the future. He is right in asserting that the problems are national, apply to every individual and can be solved satisfactorily only "if we avoid acrimony, imputations of bad faith and political controversy."

The stern sense of justice, which caused Judge Lynch of Galway, Ireland, to send his son to the gallows over a century ago, is being duplicated in a smaller way in New York. William J. Dunne, a recent arrival from Liverpool, is prosecuting his son, Charles, 25 years old, for grand larceny. The father told the court that nearly five years ago his son, Charles, the prisoner, came to this country and got a good position as a painter. Recently Charles wrote his father to come to this country. William, another son, brought the father here and before returning left him with a \$150 diamond ring. The father sewed it in the lining of an old vest and on August 15 it disappeared. The loss was reported to the police and Charles confessed that he had stolen the ring. He said he had obtained \$5, and returned \$3. He said he pawned the ring because he and his father were out of work and had no money. The father refused to accept the \$5. The youth pleaded not guilty, waived examination and was held for trial, despite the vain pleading of the magistrate that the elder Dunne to desist and save his son from the stigma of a prison sentence.

A frail little woman, staggering under a big bundle of soiled linen, came sobbing into a downtown police station. She told the lieutenant she was a widow with three children and earned \$6 a week by scrubbing floors and a little extra by washing and ironing. On her way home she had lost her pocketbook, containing \$10.55, of which \$10.00 was for rent.

The lieutenant pushed an electric button. In about a minute all of the policemen on reserve duty had lined up in front of the desk. "Say, you fellows," said the lieutenant, "this woman has lost her pocketbook. There was \$10.55 in it, and all but 55 cents was for the rent to keep a roof over the head of the children. This is what I'm going to do." With that he held up a green-back. Every man went down into his pocket and turned over the money to the lieutenant.

The officer counted the money and handed it to the woman. "Here is your rent and something over," he said brusquely. "God bless you and run along now—don't thank us. You'll embarrass us if you stay any longer. Good night."

New York is getting better; the All Night bar decided some months ago upon early closing, and now the night court has ceased to sit. Mayor Gaynor seems to have been responsible for the disappearance of the night court. He attended one of its sessions not long since, and announced next day that most of the prisoners had been arrested without due cause by foolish police. From this text he preached a sensible little sermon on the folly and injustice of arrests for trivial reasons, and immediately the number of cases before the night court began to fall off. The decision continued, until it seemed to justify the discontinuance of the court.

To a larger extent than usual this year the taxpayers of New York City will be taken into the confidence of the officials. The month of October will be given over to a budget exhibit. For this purpose an entire large floor on Broadway has been engaged and a committee has been appointed by the board of estimates to give explanatory talks and lectures and answer questions on points that are not understood. The taxpayers will be given the privilege of talking back. The purpose of this get-together arrangement is to acquaint those who pay the bills with the needs and expectations of the various departments for the coming year, and it is believed that there will be less criticism and protest if the application of the city's money is more widely understood.

A record price was paid for a one-cent piece in New York Thursday of last week when Henry Chapman, a numismatist, gave \$20 for one struck in 1787. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at auction. The face value of the coin is 1 cent, but the collector paid \$20. It is the Liberty cap type and is thought never to have been in circulation, as it is clear, perfectly centered and in good condition. It was the property of the late Peter Money of Cincinnati, whose collection was up at